

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police. These claims are not yet resolved.



VICTORIA POLICE

**To:** Assistant Commissioner Graham Ashton - Crime Department

**From:** Det. Supt Paul Sheridan - Covert Services Division  
Intelligence and Covert Support Department

**Subject:** Summary re witness F as requested by Commonwealth DPP

**Date:** 7 November 2011

**This document is classified Highly Protected**

The release of this material to any party outside of Victoria Police is likely to have serious repercussions for the safety and security of Witness F and others.

The material contained herein is an analytical summary pertaining to Witness F as requested by Commonwealth DPP pertaining to the pending prosecution of Paul Dale. If further detailed information is required, then additional resources from within the Intelligence and Covert Support Department may need to be allocated.

Exposure of Witness F activities with Victoria Police as contained within this summary will have significant impact upon Victoria Police operations, past and present. The material contained within this summary may rely upon Public Interest Immunity claims should further dissemination be considered.

*Paul Sheridan*  
Paul Sheridan.  
Detective Superintendent.

Note: I have encouraged  
A/C Intel & Covert to conduct  
a review of HSM of  
Witness F.

*Graham Ashton*  
Graham Ashton.

29/11/11

**Graham Ashton AM**  
Assistant Commissioner Crime



VICTORIA POLICE

## COVERT SERVICES DIVISION

### HIGHLY PROTECTED

1. This document is the property of the Victoria Police Force.
2. No part is to be copied without the consent of the Officer in Charge of the Covert Services Division.
3. The information it contains must not be conveyed to a third party without the consent of the Officer in Charge of the Covert Services Division.
4. It must be kept securely stored when not in use to prevent unauthorised access.
5. If the document or its contents is likely to be the subject of any legal action, including a Freedom of Information application, the Officer in Charge of the Covert Services Division must be advised as soon as possible.

---

6. In the case of information which may have originated from a Commonwealth Department, the Commonwealth Privacy Act, 1988, and the Commonwealth Freedom of Information Act, 1982, are applicable.

*“A Person, body or agency to whom personal information is disclosed under clause 1 of Information Privacy Principle 11 of the Privacy Act 1988 shall not use or disclose the information for a purpose other than the purpose for which the information was given to the person, body or agency.”*

*Commonwealth Privacy Act 1988*

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police. These claims are not yet resolved.

### Witness F

Witness F was an active human source managed by the Source Development Unit from 16/9/2005 to 14/1/2009 – 3 years and 4 months.


### Information Reports

The analysis of intelligence holdings, by the Source Development Unit pertaining to Witness F indicate that there are 319 information reports that have been disseminated to various investigators that come from information that she has supplied to handlers.


### Source Contact Reports

There are 172 source contact reports, these vary in length from two (2) pages to in excess of thirty (30) pages. Each source contact report relates to a week long period where contact was made between Witness F and the Source Development Unit.


The majority of these two documents pertain to Witness F contact with the following 164 criminals, solicitors or former members of Victoria Police:




This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police. These claims are not yet resolved.




This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police. These claims are not yet resolved.



This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police. These claims are not yet resolved.



This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police. These claims are not yet resolved.



It is difficult to assess the clear intention of the contact between the parties however the Source Development Unit believes that in the main the contact between the parties is driven by the fact that Witness F was practising as a solicitor at the time of the contacts and that her counsel was sought formally or informally pertaining to the legal status of the persons involved eg. pending charges, negotiations with investigating police, plea opportunities, receiving and passing on of information etc.

#### Criminal activity

Witness F was suspected of being on the periphery of criminal matters throughout her time as a human source, nothing ever was proven.

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police. These claims are not yet resolved.

Deceptive behaviour with source handlers

Witness F was deceptive with Source Development Unit handlers, in that during her time of activation as a human source, she failed to disclose that she had previously acted as a conduit for communications and meetings between Paul Dale and Carl Williams who were using false mobile phones prior to the murder of the Hodson's. In November 2008 Witness F admitted that she had knowledge of these false mobile telephones when spoken to by Task Force Petra investigators.



John O'Connor  
Detective Inspector 24870  
Source Development Unit  
6<sup>th</sup> November 2011