

From: Ashton, Graham
Sent: Wed, 19 Oct 2011 13:36:38 +1100
To: Fryer, Douglas
Subject: RE: Investigators notes [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

Noted,

Please get the meeting set up with the CDPP. We would like to ensure that Shane Kearn is at the meeting.

Regards

Graham Ashton AM
Director, Corporate Strategy and Governance Department
Victoria Police

email: [REDACTED] | web address: www.police.vic.gov.au |
phone: [REDACTED] | fax: [REDACTED] |
address: Victoria Police Centre Level 6, Building C, 637 Flinders Street, Melbourne Vic 3005 |
DX210096

From: Fryer, Douglas
Sent: Wednesday, 19 October 2011 12:29 PM
To: Ashton, Graham
Subject: FW: Investigators notes [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

Graham, the Gobbo witness issues are heating up with the DPP - if the below is correct it would appear ALL needs to be declared re her history - this is a problem. For discussion please

Doug

From: Frewen, Michael
Sent: Wednesday, 19 October 2011 9:57 AM
To: Fryer, Douglas
Subject: FW: Investigators notes [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

Doug,

Pls read the below mentioned email. I have spoken with Krista Breckweg re this and the bottom line is as follows:

We need to provide all material regarding witness F & Dale and all material pertaining to her credibility (basically everything I would assert). To that end Krista recommends at this point to get the decision makers in one spot at one time in order to resolve this, ie what we hand over (if anything) and the future directions re this matter. She has spoken with Senior Counsel (Chris Beal) and outstanding matters she was seeking clarification on will be resolved next week.

To that end she recommended after discussion with myself the following people attending a meeting at her office next Thu pm:

- Doug Fryer
- Graham Ashton
- Geoff Pope
- Mick Frewen
- Finn McRae
- Boris Buick

From my conversation with Krista Breckweg, it is the position of the Comm DPP that they still require Witness F to participate in these proceedings.

Pls advise.

Mick

Mick FREWEN | Detective Inspector | Crime Department

Email: [REDACTED] web address: www.police.vic.gov.au
phone: [REDACTED] fax: [REDACTED] | mobile: [REDACTED]
address: Level 15 412 St. Kilda Road, Melbourne Vic, 3004 | DX211271

From: Krista.Breckweg@[REDACTED] [mailto:Krista.Breckweg@[REDACTED]]
Sent: Wednesday, 19 October 2011 9:19 AM
To: Louise.Jarrett@[REDACTED]; Buick, Boris
Cc: Frewen, Michael
Subject: RE: Investigators notes [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

Dear Boris/Louise

I note your agreement with Hargreaves that he will not issue a subpoena in relation to certain documents in this matter if he is able to use the previously subpoenaed and redacted documents (from the murder committal) and the notes of the investigating police officers in the present ACC prosecution.

That agreement reached between the defence and Victoria Police as to the documents to be provided to the defence does not override this Office's disclosure obligations under our Prosecution Disclosure Policy.

I attach for your information a copy of the Prosecution Disclosure Policy (Investigators version). This policy outlines the material that should be disclosed to the defence and includes:

- Documents that inform the defence of the prosecution's case against him/her;
- Any information affecting the credibility of any prosecution witnesses;

- Any “unused” material (material not used in the prosecution case but which may run counter to the prosecution case or may assist the accused in advancing a defence).

The disclosure obligation is ongoing.

I would be grateful if you would read over the disclosure policy document and ensure that any documents in the possession of Victoria Police that fall within this policy are identified and a list of the documents and a copy of the documents are provided to this Office as a matter of urgency.

Please note that a copy of the list of those documents and a copy of the documents, save where a claim of PII or LPP has been made in respect of the entire document, must be made available to be provided to the defence. The list of documents should identify those documents over which claims of PII or LPP are made in respect of part or all of the document with particulars as to the nature of the claim, that is whether it is PII or LPP. Where a claim of PII or LPP is made in respect of part of a document, the part/s of the document over which that claim is made should be redacted. Where claims of PII or LPP have been made, this Office may seek clarification from Vicpol as to the nature of the claim.

Finally, as previously discussed, it may be prudent to extend the written undertaking from Hargreaves that he will not disseminate, disclose or use the documents for any other purpose, to cover all the recently disclosed material (eg NG’s annotated transcript).

Boris, can I also confirm we have provided any updated notes or material from Sol Solomon and Cameron Davey which cover the period from disclosure of the already supplied documents to the time they had no further involvement in this matter?

Many thanks
Krista

From: Seona.Banfield@[REDACTED] [mailto:Seona.Banfield@[REDACTED]] **On Behalf Of**
Louise.Jarrett@[REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, 17 October 2011 12:56 PM
To: Breckweg Krista
Cc: Buick, Boris (boris.buick@[REDACTED]); Louise.Jarrett@[REDACTED]
Michael.Frewen@[REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Investigators notes [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

Hi Krista,

Attached is the copy letter to Tony Hargreaves dated 11 October, together with copies of the redacted police notes.

Regards

Seona



Seona Banfield

Senior Legal Assistant, Police Branch

Victorian Government Solicitor's Office
Level 8, Tower 1, 637 Flinders Street, Docklands Vic 3008

[seona.banfield@\[REDACTED\]](mailto:seona.banfield@[REDACTED])

www.vgso.vic.gov.au



Think Green before printing this email.

"[Krista.Breckweg@\[REDACTED\]](mailto:Krista.Breckweg@[REDACTED])"
<[Krista.Breckweg@\[REDACTED\]](mailto:Krista.Breckweg@[REDACTED])>

17/10/2011 11:48 AM

To "[Louise.Jarrett@\[REDACTED\]](mailto:Louise.Jarrett@[REDACTED])" <[Louise.Jarrett@\[REDACTED\]](mailto:Louise.Jarrett@[REDACTED])>
cc "Buick, Boris ([boris.buick@\[REDACTED\]](mailto:boris.buick@[REDACTED]))"
<[boris.buick@\[REDACTED\]](mailto:boris.buick@[REDACTED])>, "[Michael.Frewen@\[REDACTED\]](mailto:Michael.Frewen@[REDACTED])"
<[Michael.Frewen@\[REDACTED\]](mailto:Michael.Frewen@[REDACTED])>
Subject: RE: Investigators notes [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

t

Thanks Louise – can I please have a copy of all the documents that were served on Hargreaves – preferably soon

Thanks
Krista

From: [Louise.Jarrett@\[REDACTED\]](mailto:Louise.Jarrett@[REDACTED]) [[mailto:Louise.Jarrett@\[REDACTED\]](mailto:Louise.Jarrett@[REDACTED])]
Sent: Monday, 17 October 2011 10:26 AM
To: Breckweg Krista
Cc: Buick, Boris ([boris.buick@\[REDACTED\]](mailto:boris.buick@[REDACTED])), [Michael.Frewen@\[REDACTED\]](mailto:Michael.Frewen@[REDACTED])
Subject: RE: Investigators notes [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

Good morning Krista,

Yes happy for you to proceed. Disclosure of the remaining notes occurred on 11 October 2011. I will forward the letter to Tony Hargreaves (attaching the notes) to you now.

Regards,



Louise Jarrett
Senior Solicitor, Police Branch

Victorian Government Solicitor's Office

Level 8, Tower 1, 637 Flinders Street, Docklands Vic 3008

louise.jarrett@

www.vgso.vic.gov.au



Think Green before printing this email.

"Krista.Breckweg@
<Krista.Breckweg@

17/10/2011 09:12 AM

To "Louise.Jarrett@" <Louise.Jarrett@
cc "Buick, Boris (boris.buick@)" <boris.buick@
"Michael.Frewen@" <Michael.Frewen@
Subject RE: Investigators notes [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

t

Dear Louise

I just wanted to let you know that intend sending the first lot of disclosure documents to Tony Hargreaves shortly. These are:

Redacted diary notes of Boris Buick
Redacted diary notes of Le Busque
Prior convictions of all witnesses

Are you happy for me to proceed with these?

Kind regards
Krista

From: Louise.Jarrett@ <<mailto:Louise.Jarrett@>>
Sent: Wednesday, 5 October 2011 9:10 AM
To: Boris_Buick%POL%NPOL%WVG%DOJEXT%DOJ%VGSOEXT@
Cc: Breckweg Krista; Michael_Frewen%POL@
Subject: Re: Investigators notes

Hi Boris,

Tony Hargreaves has requested all investigator notes relating to this matter, not just your notes. Are you able to give me an indication of whether these notes exist, and if so, how long it will take you to source and review them?

In relation to the notes attached, can you please indicate whether the completely white areas (redactions) are purely irrelevant material, or a combination of PII and irrelevant material.

Thanks,



Louise Jarrett
Senior Solicitor, Victoria Police Branch

Victorian Government Solicitor's Office
Level 8, Tower 1, 637 Flinders Street, Docklands Vic 3008

[louise.jarrett@\[REDACTED\]](mailto:louise.jarrett@[REDACTED])

www.vgso.vic.gov.au



Think Green before printing this email.

Boris
Buick/MELCENTRAL/VICPOLICE@POL

04/10/2011 07:27 PM

To [Krista.Breckweg@\[REDACTED\]@SMTP@POL](mailto:Krista.Breckweg@[REDACTED]@SMTP@POL), Louise Jarrett/Users/VGSO@VGSO
cc Michael Frewen/MELCENTRAL/VICPOLICE@POL

Subjec Investigators notes

t

Attached is the extent of the investigator's (my) notes relating to this matter, commencing on the date DALE was served with his charges (15 Feb 11).

For the information of Tony Hargraves, redactions of matters not relevant to this investigation or relating to police methodologies aside, please note that the redactions on 14 Sept, 20 Sept and 27 Sept are of conversations relating to witness security only.

Boris

<<BUICK notes re F-DALE.pdf>>

Boris BUICK

Detective Senior Sergeant|Crime Department

Victoria Police |412 St Kilda Road, Melbourne 3004

Ph: [REDACTED] |Mob [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED] |DX: 210094

Email: [boris.buick@\[REDACTED\]](mailto:boris.buick@[REDACTED])

This email and any attachments may contain confidential and/or personal information and is intended for the addressee(s) only. It may be subject to legal or other professional privilege. Any confidentiality or privilege is not waived or lost if this email has been sent to you in error. If you received it in error, please notify the sender by reply email, delete it from your system and destroy any copies. This email is subject to copyright. No part of it should be reproduced, adapted or communicated without the prior written consent of the copyright owner. Any personal information in this email must be handled in accordance with the Information Privacy Act 2000. The onus is on the recipient to check for and remove viruses.

The information contained in this message and in any attachments is privileged and confidential and intended only for the use of the addressee. You should not read, copy, use or disclose this information without authorisation. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please e-mail the sender immediately and delete this message. Any unauthorised dissemination, disclosure, copying or use of the contents of this message is prohibited and may result in legal action.

This email and any attachments may contain confidential and/or personal information and is intended for the addressee(s) only. It may be subject to legal or other professional privilege. Any confidentiality or privilege is not waived or lost if this email has been sent to you in error. If you received it in error, please notify the sender by reply email, delete it from your system and destroy any copies. This email is subject to copyright. No part of it should be reproduced, adapted or communicated without the prior written consent of the copyright owner. Any personal information in this email must be handled in accordance with the Information Privacy Act 2000. The onus is on the recipient to check for and remove viruses.

This email and any attachments may contain confidential and/or personal information and is intended for the addressee(s) only. It may be subject to legal or other professional privilege. Any confidentiality or privilege is not waived or lost if this email has been sent to you in error. If you received it in error, please notify the sender by reply email, delete it from your system and destroy any copies. This email is subject to copyright. No part of it should be reproduced, adapted or communicated without the prior written consent of the copyright owner. Any personal information in this email must be handled in accordance with the Information Privacy Act 2000. The onus is on the recipient to check for and remove viruses.