

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police. These claims are not yet resolved.

From: Gleeson, Steve
Sent: Thu, 10 May 2012 19:13:20 +1000
To: [redacted]
Subject: Re: 3838 matters

Thanks [redacted] Officer Hotham
Sg

From: [redacted]
Sent: Thursday, May 10, 2012 07:02 PM
To: Gleeson, Steve
Cc: Pope, Jeff
Subject: RE: 3838 matters

Hello Steve,

I will look at these issues & provide a response ASAP.

Hotham-O

[redacted]
[redacted]
Human Source Management Unit | Victoria Police
phone: [redacted] | fax: +613 9865 2536
address: [redacted] Melbourne Vic Australia 3004 | DX210094
email: [redacted]@police.vic.gov.au | web address: www.police.vic.gov.au

From: Gleeson, Steve
Sent: Thursday, 10 May 2012 5:06 PM
To: [redacted]
Cc: Pope, Jeff
Subject: 3838 matters

Hotham-O

After some pretty heavy reading and some detailed discussions with Tony Biggin I think have a reasonable handle on the entire file now. Prior to our further discussions occurring about certain process issues, I would welcome your advice concerning the following points (or your referring me to who best might assist with such matters):

- I have been able to reconcile most of the Contact Reports in the Interpose file despite the often jumbled order. However a gap seems to exist between ICR 045 and 046 when the 3838 reference was still being utilised. This covers a 12 day period from 16/9/06 to 28/9/06. 045 ends on 15/9/06 and 046 starts on 28/9/06? Prior to 15/9/06 and post 28/9/06 the source was generating multiple daily contacts. It is not explained why there should be no contact at all recorded for this period? At the start of 047 there is even a comment to explain it being unusual for a lack of contact for a sole day on 28/9/06. On the face of it, it is open to interpret that 12 days of reporting have been missed but there is no sequential gap in the ICR numbering to suggest a report or reports have gone missing. It does not make sense. Can you assist please?
- I have been unable to locate any Acknowledgement of Responsibility. Could you please direct me to this / these.

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police. These claims are not yet resolved.

- I note that there were formal risk assessments conducted for 3838 on 15/11/05, and then again on 20/4/06. Aside from references to risks within the Source Management Logs were there any further formal risk assessments conducted by SDU / DSU? If so could I please be directed to these.
- From the ICRs and Management Logs I note that advice was sought from an [REDACTED] psych on 20/11/06 and that on 11/12/06 it was suggested to the source that consultations with a Psych [REDACTED] would be put in place. A number of sessions then occurred. Did such processes generate any advices and if so where would these be located? I am interested in any process for advice from these professional consultations to be incorporated into the file and ultimately be factored into risk assessment processes? (I also recognise that there are mixed views as to the worth of such assessments!)
- In the Source Management Log for 8/1/09 (within an email from Tony Biggin that has been pasted into the file) there is mention of certain things being provided to Petra - namely a paper to reflect the management issues surrounding this witness and a risk assessment. I have not been able to locate these documents in the entire file and ask if copies are available for review? (please note that I have also called for the Petra Steering Group, file which may contain such information, but have not been provided with access to this as yet)
- In the Source Management Log for 22/1/09 there is mention of the HS providing 6 pages of requests (related to potential Witsec admission?) Do you have a copy of this or is this something held by Witsec?
- In the revised Human Source Management Guide you provided me, within section 5 it makes reference to a template and guide to risk analysis being provided at Appendix A. I do not have this (Appendix A) and would welcome a copy. Furthermore, has this

Hope this all makes sense and if you have any queries or need to speak then please call.

Cheers

Steve Gleeson.
[REDACTED]