

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police. These claims are not yet resolved.

December 2018 with

and MAXWELL attending at 10.00 hrs -

confirmed that he had received a letter from DDP Kerri JUDD detailing that his former Lawyer - Informant 3838 was an informant for police, and that some his prior convictions may be in question. Detailed that (exact spelling unknown) was to Informer 3838 at some stage and that did business with Further stated that he'd been introduced to Informer 3838 by and that was ultimately how Informer 3838 came to be his legal representative.

held the letter up for us to read.

thinks that his prior convictions for offences in ^{PII} may be tainted due to Informer 3838 giving police information about his dealings. At the very least, according to information police received from Informer 3838 would have had some negative impact on evidence against him, being arrested and charged with those offences.

also thinks that his prior convictions will impact on what sentence he receives IF he pleas to his current matters.

detailed that the (nearly) years of imprisonment between ^{PII} he now sees as 'tainted' evidence would and should have an impact on what sentence he receives IF he pleads guilty to his current matter. Goes further to say that that conviction shouldn't count in this sentence as the evidence against him could potentially be tainted.

enquired as to if a will be produced on where he was told that a report was being prepared up until now. discussed that IF he plead guilty he would do so

appears to be struggling and told us this letter and the circumstances surrounding it "are doing his head in". is clearly not as happy as I had seen him in the past.